**Review Criteria**

The marking criteria are as follows (each criterion has equal weight):

**HTML**:

* Submitted URL works
* 5 web pages are implemented with an appropriate hierarchical structure
* HTML is valid and well-formed
* HTML elements are well chosen, and use structural elements and attributes where possible
* HTML is comprehensively commented

**CSS**:

* CSS is used to make an appropriate, aesthetic design
* Layout is appropriate and interesting
* Website is responsive, with **explicit** responsive behaviour
* CSS is comprehensively commented

**JavaScript**:

* JavaScript is successfully called and student-written code interacts with the DOM
* JavaScript has an essential role in the function of some aspect of the website (e.g. image carousel)
* Advanced use is made of JavaScript or a JS library is used in a sophisticated way
* JS is comprehensively commented

**Report**:

* Site map and wireframes are comprehensive and representative, and wireframes reflect the responsive aspects of the site
* Mock-ups are present and accurate
* Accessibility is described in the report and well executed in the site
* Reflection on work (the rest of the report) shows critical and analytical awareness